Hybrid Bidentate Phosphoramidite Ligands in Asymmetric Catalysis

Fabien Boeda[#], Thomas Beneyton and Christophe Crévisy *

Laboratoire Sciences Chimiques de Rennes, associated with CNRS, ENSCR, Avenue du Général Leclerc, 35700 Rennes, France

[#]Current address: Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia (ICIQ), Av. Països Catalans, 16, 43007 Tarragona, Spain

Abstract: Hybrid bidentate phosphoramidite ligands are a recent family of promising phosphorus ligands. The different classes (phosphoramidite-phosphine, phosphoramidite-phosphine, phosphoramidite-phosphine, phosphoramidite-phosphine, phosphoramidite-number of the set of the set of the set of the evaluation of the set of the results of the evaluation of these ligands in reactions catalyzed by transition metals/bidentate phosphoramidite ligands, such as hydrogenation, hydroformy-lation, conjugate addition and Tsuji-Trost reaction.

Keywords: Hybrid bidentate ligands, phosphoramidites, asymmetric catalysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the seminal work by Knowles in 1968, many chiral ligands have been developed to be applied in metal-catalyzed asymmetric reactions [1a]. C₂-Symmetric bisphosphine ligands were first developed [1]. Some unsymmetrical bisphosphine ligands were later studied and they showed a remarkable efficiency (e.g. Josiphos [2]). Nevertheless, many bisphosphine ligands suffer from lengthy and costly synthetic procedures and some are also poorly stable towards air and high temperatures. Hence, the development of new classes of ligands was of great interest, particularly for industrial applications [3]. In this context, since 1996, there has been a growing interest for monodentate phosphoramidite ligands [4]. In such compounds, a diol unit, usually a biphenol, and an amine unit are linked by a phosphorus atom (Scheme 1). These ligands are readily accessible and present modular and tunable structures. They have been successfully used in many reactions catalyzed by organotransition metal complexes, such as for instance asymmetric hydrogenation [5], allylic substitution [6], hydrosilylation [7], hydrovinylation [8], hydroboration [9], conjugate addition [10]. The development of unsymmetrical ligands that would exhibit both the advantages of monodentate phosphoramidites and the great efficiency of sterically and electronically unsymmetrical bidentate ligands [11] was very attractive. Furthermore, the quest for "perfect" ligands is far from being over; such optimal ligands should 1) not be too much substrate and reaction specific, 2) exhibit high TONs and TOFs, 3) be readily accessible in both enantiomeric forms from cheap starting material, 4) be air- and moisture-stable, 5) allow the access to a high structural variability, 6) be chemoselective with elaborate substrates. In this context, hybrid bidentate phosphoramidite ligands appeared recently. These ligands display two coordination sites, a phosphoramidite moiety along with a second coordination site being for instance a phosphine or a phosphite (Scheme 1). The purpose of this review is to present two important aspects dealing with these ligands: 1) Their accessibility; the synthetic routes by which they were obtained will be discussed, 2) Their efficiency; especially the enantioselectivity, the catalyst loading and the substrate scope of the reactions to which their metal complexes have been applied.

2. PREPARATION OF HYBRID BIDENTATE PHOS-PHORAMIDITE LIGANDS AND EVALUATION IN ASYMMETRIC CATALYSIS

2.1. Phosphoramidite-phosphine ligands

2.1.1. Overview

The first examples of hybrid bidentate phosphoramidite ligands are the phosphoramidite-phosphine QUINAPHOS **L1a-b** which were reported by Leitner in 2000 (Scheme 2) [12a]. It is worth noting that **L1a-b** bear a BINOL moiety as many mono- and bi-dentate phosphoramidites. Leitner also reported the synthesis of isomers **L1c-d** and analogues **L1e-h** and **L2**, the latter being obtained from a biphenol moiety (Scheme 2) [12a, 12b]. Since then, many phosphoramidite-phosphine ligands were synthesized; their structures are depicted in Scheme 2.

As the diol part of these ligands is usually a biphenoltype framework (BINOL, H8-BINOL, biphenol, catechol), the structural difference between them lies essentially in the amino part which often carries the phosphino coordination site. The first class of amine contains cyclic amines as chiral 2-alkyl-1,2-dihydroquinoline found in ligands L1-2 and also non chiral 3-methylindole found in INDOLPhos L3a-d [13]. The second class consists of chiral ferrocene-based diarylphosphino-amines (L4a-l, Scheme 2) [14-17]. The third class is derivatized from benzylamines and arylamines (e.g. anilines) which can be chiral, as in ligands L5a-d [18] and L5e [19] or not, as in Me-anilaphos L5f [20] and ligand L5g [21]. Chiral (R)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1-naphtylamine found in ligands 5h-i [22] may also be included in this class. The fourth class contains chiral-pool based diphenylphosphinoamines as in ligand L6 which derived from phenylalanine [23]. Very recently, Zhang reported a different type of phosphoramidite-phosphine ligands (L7a-d) in which the phos-

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Laboratoire Sciences Chimiques de Rennes, associated with CNRS, ENSCR, Avenue du Général Leclerc, 35700 Rennes, France; Tel: (33) 2 23 23 80 74; Fax: (33) 2 23 23 81 08; E-mail: christophe.crevisy@ensc-rennes.fr



Monodentate phosphoramidite ligand



ligand Bidentate phosphoramidite-phosphine ligand

Scheme 1.



(*Rc*,*Ra*)-Quinaphos **L1a** and (*Sc*,*Ra*)-Quinaphos **L1b** (*Rc*,*Sa*)-Quinaphos **L1c** and (*Sc*,*Sa*)-Quinaphos **L1d**



 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R} &= \mathrm{Ph}, \, \mathbf{R}' = \mathrm{H} \, \mathbf{L3a} & \mathbf{R} &= \mathrm{Ph}, \, \mathbf{R}' &= \mathrm{Si} \mathrm{Me}_3 \, \mathbf{L3c} \\ \mathbf{R} &= \mathit{i} \mathrm{Pr}, \, \mathbf{R}' &= \mathrm{H} \, \mathbf{L3b} & \mathbf{R} &= \mathit{i} \mathrm{Pr}, \, \mathbf{R}' &= \mathrm{Me} \, \, \mathbf{L3d} \end{aligned}$



R N-P O PPh₂ PEAPhos





(Rc,Ra), R = tBu, Ar = Ph L1e (Sc,Ra), R = tBu, Ar = Ph L1f (Rc,Ra), R = nBu, Ar =o-toluyl (CH)₂(CF₂)₆F L1g (Sc,Ra), R = nBu, Ar =o-toluyl (CH)₂(CF₂)₆F L1h



R = Me, Diol = BINOL, (*Sc*, *Rp*, *Ra*) L4b R = Me, Diol = BINOL, (*Sc*, *Rp*, *Sa*) L4c R = Me, Diol = biphenol, (*Sc*, *Rp*) L4d R = Me, Diol = catechol, (*Sc*, *Rp*) L4e R = Me, Diol = H8-BINOL, (*Sc*, *Rp*, *Sa*) L4f R = Et, Diol = H8-BINOL, (*Sc*, *Rp*, *Sa*) L4g R = H, Diol = H8-BINOL, (*Sc*, *Rp*, *Sa*) L4h R = H, Diol = BINOL, (*Sc*, *Rp*, *Sa*) L4i

PPh₂

Ph₂F

Ph

(Ra), R = Me, n = 0 L5f

L6

 $(Sa), R = CH_2Ph, n = 1$ L5g





Diol = BINOL, (*Sc*, *Sp*, *Ra*) **L4j** Diol = BINOL, (*Sc*, *Sp*, *Sa*) **L4k** Diol = H8-BINOL, (*Rc*, *Sp*, *Sa*) **L4l**



Scheme 2.

phine coordination site is located in the BINOL framework instead of being in the amine part of the molecule [24].

2.1.2. Synthesis of Phosphoramidite-Phosphine Ligands

Ligands L1-L6 were obtained using the same strategy: a diarylphosphino-amine was synthesized and then reacted with the diol and PCl_3 in the presence of a base.

Ligands L1-L2 were obtained in a two steps procedure (Scheme 3) [12, 25]. 8-bromoquinoleine 1 was successively treated with *n*BuLi and Ar_2PCl to give 8-bisarylphosphanylquinoleine 2. Compound 2 was submitted to a nucleophilic addition of an organolithium reagent to give lithium salt 3. The latter was then added to a chlorophosphite, which was prepared according to classical conditions [26]. A mixture of



Scheme 3.



Scheme 4.



Scheme 5.

diastereoisomers was obtained so that a separation was required. Ligands **L3a-d** were readily prepared in two steps from 3-methylindole **4** [13]. Aminophosphine **5** was first obtained by a directed metalation reaction then converted to the expected ligand following a standard procedure employed for the synthesis of phosphoramidite group (Scheme **3**) [26].

Zheng, Chan and Li reported the synthesis of various ferrocene-based phosphoramidite-phosphine ligands **L4a-l**. Ligands **L4a** and **L4l** were prepared from commercially available *N*,*N*-dimethyl-(*R*)- α -ferrocenylethylamine **6** in a 4 step-procedure as outlined in Scheme **4** [14, 17, 27b]. Amine **6** was treated successively with *sec*-BuLi and Ph₂PCl to give the amino-phosphine **7**. The substitution of the dimethyl amino group by a secondary amine was performed by sequential treatment of **7** with Ac₂O then methylamine. The reaction of the resulting amine 8 with the appropriate chlorophosphite under standard conditions [26] gave the expected ligands.

Isomeric ligands **L4b-k** were prepared from *N*,*N*-dimethyl-(*S*)- α -ferrocenylethylamine following a similar strategy [15, 16, 17, 27], but the preparation of **L4j** and **L4k** was more laborious [15].

Ligands L5a-d were synthesized in a 2 or 3 stepprocedure as outlined in Scheme 5 [18]. (S) Phenylethylamine 9 was sequentially treated by *n*BuLi and TMSCl to give an intermediate which was dilithiated by further addition of *n*BuLi. After subsequent orthophosphination with Ph₂PCl, the resulting diphenylphosphino-amine **10a** could be monomethylated to give secondary amine **10b**. Amines **10a** and **10b** were converted to ligands L5a-d according to the





Scheme 7.

classical conditions used for the formation of phosphoramidites [26].

Ligand L5e was prepared in a five step-procedure from NOBIN 11 (Scheme 6) [19]. NOBIN was subsequently *N*acetylated then *O*-triflated to give a product which was submitted to a Pd-catalyzed phosphonoylation to afford compound 12. Reduction of both phosphine oxide and acetamide groups afforded amino-phosphine 13 which was deprotonated before being quenched with chlorophosphite to give L5e in a rather low yield.

Ligand L5f was prepared in one step from 2diphenylphosphino-*N*-dimethylaniline 15 [20] which in turn can be readily obtained from *N*-methylaniline **14** (Scheme **7**) [28]. Our group prepared ligand **L5g** in a short two-step procedure from *o*-diphenylphosphinobenzaldehyde **16** [21]. Aminophosphine **17** was obtained by a reductive amination on aldehyde **16**. Ligand **L5g** was then synthesized by treatment of **17** with PCl₃ and subsequent reaction of the resulting aminodichlorophosphine with (*S*)-BINOL (Scheme **7**) [26]. Ligands **L5h-i** were easily obtained in a two-step procedure from commercially available (*R*)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1-naphtylamine **18** [22]. Position 8 was metalated and the resulting salt was quenched with Ph₂PCl to give aminophosphine **19**, which was converted to expected ligands according to standard procedures (Scheme **7**) [26].

(Rc, Ra) L5h (Rc, Sa) L5i



Our group reported the preparation of ligand L6 from commercially available Boc-phenylalaninol 20 (Scheme 8) [23]. Conversion of the hydroxyl group to a better leaving group followed by a nucleophilic displacement with PPh₂K gave phosphine 21. The reduction of the Boc group afforded the amine 22. The latter was converted to L6 following classical conditions employed for the formation of phosphora-midites [26].

As in ligands L7 the diphenylphosphino group is carried by the diol part of the molecule, a different strategy had to be employed for their synthesis. *Ortho*-substituted diphenylphosphino BINOL 24 was first synthesized as outlined in scheme 9 [24]. Lithiation of the MOM-protected BINOL 23 followed by treatment with Ph₂PCl and deprotection of the MOM groups gave compound 24. The latter was converted to the expected ligands following one of the two classical procedures; either generation of the corresponding chlorophosphite was followed by addition of a lithium amide (for L7c-d), or the biphenol 24 was heated with HEPT or HMPT (for L7a-b).

2.1.3. Evaluation of Phosphoramidite-Phosphine Ligands in Asymmetric Catalysis

Ligands L1-L5 and L7 were almost all evaluated towards organotransition-metal catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation



Scheme 10.

of C-C double bonds. QUINAPHOS L1a-L1b, ligands L3ad and L5e were examined in asymmetric hydroformylation. QUINAPHOS L1a-L1b were also applied to the Rucatalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of ketones, while L6 was examined in Cu-catalyzed conjugated addition to enones.

2.1.3.1. Hydrogenation of Carbon-Carbon Double Bonds

Diastereoisomeric L1a and L1b were evaluated towards Rh-catalyzed hydrogenation of dimethyl itaconate S1a and methyl-2-acetamidoacrylate S2 (Scheme 10, Table 1) [12a]. The reactions were conducted at room temperature, in the presence of 0.1 mol % of catalyst and under high pression of hydrogen. The best enantioselectivity (98.8% ee) was obtained using 2.2 molar equivalents of the (Rc, Ra) isomer L1a in the hydrogenation of S1a. Under the same conditions, a poor result (12.4% ee) was obtained in the hydrogenation

Entry	Ligand	Substrate	Ligand/Rh	Conv. (%)	e.e. (%)
1	L1b	S1a	1.1	> 99	64.2 (<i>R</i>)
2	L1b	S1a	2.2	> 99	78.8 (<i>R</i>)
3	L1a	S1a	1.1	> 99	95.6 (<i>R</i>)
4	L1a	S1a	2.2	> 99	98.8 (<i>R</i>)
5	L1a	S2	2.2	8.0	12.4 (<i>S</i>)
6	L1a	S2	1.0 ^[b]	> 99	97.8 (<i>S</i>)

Table 1. Hydrogenation of dimethyl itaconate S1a and methyl 2-acetamidoacrylate S2 catalyzed by Rh-QUINAPHOS complexes^[a]

[a] Hydrogenation was performed at room temperature under an H_2 pressure of 30 bars for 24h and the catalyst was made in situ from 0,1 mol % of [Rh(cod)₂]BF₄.

[b] Isolated complex [(L1a)Rh(cod)]BF4 25 was used as the catalyst.

Table 2. Rh/L3a-d catalyzed hydrogenation of S1a and S2^[a, b]

Entry	Ligand	Substrate	e.e. (%)
1	L3a ^[c]	S1a	73 (<i>S</i>)
2	L3b	S1a	98 (S)
3	L3c ^[d]	S1a	12 (S)
4	L3d	S1a	92 (<i>S</i>)
5	L3a ^[c]	S2	13 (<i>S</i>)
6	L3b	S2	86 (R)
7	L3c ^[d]	S2	36 (<i>R</i>)
8	L3d	S2	97 (<i>R</i>)

[a] Full conversion was obtained for all reactions.

[b] The reactions were conducted for 16h at 25°C under an H₂ pressure of 10 bars. The catalyst was made in situ from 1.0 mol % of $[Rh(nbd)_2]BF_4$. [c] A 1:4 mixture of $[Rh(L3a)(nbd)]BF_4$ and $[Rh(L3a)_2]BF_4$ was formed by mixing the ligand with 1 eq. of $[Rh(nbd)_2]BF_4$.

[d] The isolated complex [Rh(L3c)(cod)]BF₄ was used.

of **S2**. However, a high enantioselectivity (97.8%) was achieved when the isolated complex $[(L1a)Rh(cod)]BF_4$ **25** was used (entry 6). The hydrogenation of dimethyl itaconate **S1a** was also accomplished with a high activity, as a TOF of over 35000 h⁻¹ was estimated.

Reek recently described his findings about the use of INDOLPhos ligands **L3a-d** in the rhodium-catalyzed hydrogenation of itaconate **S1a** and methyl-2-acetamidoacrylate **S2** (Scheme **10**, Table **2**) [13]. The reactions were carried out with 1.0 mol % of the catalyst under 10 bars of H₂. The presence of the bulky diisopropylphosphine group proved to be important to obtain good enantioselectivities in the hydrogenation of both substrates (entries 2, 4, 6, 8). Moreover, the enantioselectivity was further increased when the ligand **L3d**, possessing an *ortho*-methyl-substituted BINOL group, was used in the hydrogenation of **S2** (entry 8).

Ferrocene-based ligands L4a, L4c, L4f-h and L4l were tested in the rhodium-catalyzed hydrogenation of α dehydroamino acid derivatives S3a-S3l (Scheme 11, Table 3) [14, 15, 17]. Very high enantioselectivities (99-99.9% ee) were obtained with almost all substrates and ligands. However, ligand L4l seems to be the unmatched isomer for the H8-BINOL-derived ligands as a moderate enantioselectivity was observed when it was used (entry 12). The enantioselectivities did not depend upon the electronic properties of the aryl group (entries 13-18) except for ligand L4a where the presence of the electron donating methyl group in S3c decreased the enantioselectivity (97.4% entry 3). Ligand L4c and L4f remained very efficient even when the catalyst loading was decreased from 1.0 to 0.1 mol% (entries 7 and 9). It is noteworthy that the Rh/L4c-catalyzed hydrogenation of S3a gave a better result in term of enantioselectivity when it proceeded with of 2.2 equiv. of ligand per rhodium and in the presence of a small amount of air or moisture (entry 7). The authors also observed that the enantioselectivity of the reaction was solvent dependent with some ligands (L4a) and not with others (L4c and L4f). The Rh/L4f-catalyzed hydrogenation of S3a was also studied at lower H_2 pressure (1 bar)



S3a : $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}$, $\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{CH}_3\mathbf{CO}$, $\mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{Ph}$	P3a
S3b : $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{CH}_3\mathbf{CO}, \mathbf{R}^2 = p$ -NO ₂ -Ph	P3b
S3c : $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{CH}_3\mathbf{CO}, \mathbf{R}^2 = p$ -Me-Ph	P3c
S3d : $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{PhCO}, \mathbf{R}^2 = p$ -F-Ph	P3d
S3e : $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{CH}_3\mathbf{CO}, \mathbf{R}^2 = p$ -Br-Ph	P3e
S3f : $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{PhCO}, \mathbf{R}^2 = p$ -Cl-Ph	P3f
$\mathbf{S3g}: \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Et}, \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{CH}_3\mathbf{CO}, \mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{Ph}$	P3g
S3h : $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{CH}_3\mathbf{CO}, \mathbf{R}^2 = o$ -Cl-Ph	P3h
S3i : $R = Me$, $R^1 = CH_3CO$, $R^2 = p$ -Cl-Ph	P3i
S3j : $R = Et$, $R^1 = CH_3CO$, $R^2 = p$ -Cl-Ph	P3j
$\mathbf{S3k}$: R = Me, R ¹ = CH ₃ CO, R ² = <i>o</i> -OMe-Ph	P3k
S31 : $R = Me$, $R^1 = CH_3CO$, $R^2 = p$ -OMe-Ph	P3l
S3m : $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}$, $\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{CH}_3\mathbf{CO}$, $\mathbf{R}^2 = p$ -F-Ph	P3m
$\mathbf{S3n}: \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{CH}_3\mathbf{CO}, \mathbf{R}^2 = m\text{-Br-Ph}$	P3n
S30 : $R = Me$, $R^1 = CH_3CO$, $R^2 = p-CF_3-Ph$	P30
$\mathbf{S3p}$: R = Me, R ¹ = CH ₃ CO, R ² = thiophenyl	Р3р
$\mathbf{S3q}: \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{CH}_3\mathbf{CO}, \mathbf{R}^2 = n \cdot \mathbf{Pr}$	P3q
S3r : $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{CH}_3\mathbf{CO}, \mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{MeOCH}_2$	P3r
S2 : $R = Me$, $R^1 = CH_2CO$, $R^2 = H$	P2

Scheme 11.

in MeOH and no significant drop of the ee value was observed under these conditions. Finally, no clear-cut difference in the enantioselectivity was noted between BINOLbased ligand **L4c** and the corresponding H8-BINOL-derived ligand **L4f** (entries 7, 9).

Ferrocene-based ligands L4a-h and L4j-l were tested in the rhodium-catalyzed hydrogenation of enamides S4a-S4h (Scheme 12, Table 4) [14, 15, 17]. Among all the ligands tested, L4c and L4j exhibited the best enantioselectivities in the hydrogenation of compound S4a (entries 1-11). Very high ee's were also obtained with substrates S4b-e (entries 12-15). It is noteworthy that H8-BINOL-based ligands gave slightly lower enantioselectivities than the corresponding BINOL-based ligands in the hydrogenation of S4a (entries 3 and 6). Similar results were obtained with substrates S4c-S4e [17]. While (Sc,Rp,Sa)-L4c and (Sc,Sp,Ra)-L4j gave hydrogenated products P4a of opposite configurations (entries 3 and 9), (Sc,Rp,Sa)-L4c and (Sc,Sp,Sa)-L4k gave the same enantiomer (entries 3 and 10). Moreover, biphenol- and catechol-based ligands L4d and L4e afforded a product with a lower ee (entries 4 and 5). Ultimately, it appears that the BINOL moiety plays a critical role in the stereochemical outcome.

The catalytic performance of ferrocene-based ligands L4 was also tested in the Rh-catalyzed hydrogenation of dimethyl itaconate S1a (Scheme 10, Table 5) [15, 17]. Ligands L4c, L4f and L4h afforded the product with the highest enantioselectivity (99.9% ee, entries 1-3) while unmatched

Entry	Ligand	Substrate	Rh/L (mol %)	Solvent, Pressure (bar)	Time (h) ^[a]	e.e. (%)
1	L4a	S3a	1/1.1	THF, 20	-	99 (<i>S</i>)
2	L4a	S3b	1/1.1	THF, 20	-	99.6 (<i>S</i>)
3	L4a	S3c	1/1.1	THF, 20	-	97.4 (S)
4	L4a	S3d	1/1.1	THF, 20	-	99 (<i>S</i>)
5	L4a	S3e	1/1.1	THF, 20	-	99 (<i>S</i>)
6	L4a	S3f	1/1.1	THF, 20	-	99 (<i>S</i>)
7	L4c	S3a	0.1/0.22 ^[b]	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 10	1	99.9 (<i>R</i>)
8	L4f	S3a	1/1.1	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 10	24	99.9 (R)
9	L4f	S3a	0.1/0.11	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 10	24	99.8 (R)
10	L4g	S3a	1/1.1	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 10	24	99.9 (<i>R</i>)
11	L4h	S3a	1/1.1	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 10	24	99.8 (R)
12	L4l	S3a	1/1.1	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 10	24	50.0 (R)
13	L4f	S3g	1/1.1	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 10	24	99.7 (<i>R</i>)
14	L4f	S3h	1/1.1	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 10	24	99.8 (R)
15	L4f	S3i	1/1.1	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 10	24	99.7 (<i>R</i>)
16	L4f	S3j	1/1.1	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 10	24	99.5 (<i>R</i>)
17	L4f	S3k	1/1.1	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 10	24	99.8 (R)
18	L4f	S31	1/1.1	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 10	24	99.7 (<i>R</i>)

Table 3. Rh/ferrocene-based ligands L4a-L4f catalyzed hydrogenation of α-dehydroamino acid derivatives S3

[a] Complete conversion was obtained in all reactions. [b] The reaction was carried out under unprotected conditions. A 99.0% ee value was obtained when the reaction was performed in a glove box with dried, degassed solvents and a purged autoclave.



Scheme 12.

ligand L4l gave a poor ee value (entry 5). It must be underlined that BINOL- L4c and the corresponding H8-BINOL-L4f based ligands led to the same ee values. On the other hand Rh/L4c complex appeared to be far more active than Rh/L4f, as the reaction was finished with the former after a short period of time although a lower catalyst loading was used (entries 1 and 2). Moreover, when a low loading of Rh/L4c catalyst (0.01 mol %) was used, the enantioselectivity was only slightly diminished (99.1% ee) [15]. It is interesting to note that similar ee values were obtained in various solvents or under an H₂ pressure of 1 bar.

Zheng observed unsatisfactory results in the Rh/L4c catalyzed hydrogenation of β -aryl- β -(acylamino)acrylate (Z)-S5a (Scheme 13, Table 6, entry 1). It had already been noted that Bophoz-H was a better ligand than Bophoz-Me in the Rhcatalyzed hydrogenation reaction. As a consequence, Zheng anticipated that an analogue of L4c where the N-Me group would have been replaced by a N-H group could allow for higher enantioselectivities. As expected, an excellent ee value was obtained with L4i (>99% ee, entry 2) [16]. Various β-aryl-β-(acylamino)acrylates were tested in the Rh-L4i catalyzed reaction and no influence of the electronic properties of the aryl group of the substrates could be noticed, but higher enantioselectivities (98->99% ee) were obtained with ethylacrylates S5a, S5b, S5d, S5f compared to those obtained with methylacrylates (97-98% ee) [16]. A minor deterioration in the ee occurred when the catalyst loading was decreased from 1.0 mol% to 0.1 mol % (entries 2 and 3). The catalytic system based on L4i was next applied to β-alkyl-β-(acylamino)acrylates S5j-S5n. While (E)- β -alkyl- β -(acylamino)acrylates afforded hydrogenated products with enantiomeric excess (97-99% ee) close to those obtained with β aryl-\beta-(acylamino)acrylates, poorer results were obtained with the corresponding (Z) stereoisomers (92-93% ee, see entries 2-5 and ref. [16]). It must be underlined that a decrease of the catalyst loading from 1% to 0.1% led to a drop in the enantioselectivity (entry 7).

Zheng and Kostas investigated the Rh-catalyzed hydrogenation of α -dehydroaminoacids derivatives **S3a**, **S3h-i** and

Entry	Ligand	Substrate	Rh (mol %) ^[a]	Solvent	Time (h) ^[b]	e.e. (%)
1	L4a	S4a	1	THF ^[c]	-	87.5
2	L4b	S4a	1	CH_2Cl_2	1	10.6 (<i>R</i>)
3	L4c	S4a	1 ^[d]	CH_2Cl_2	1	99.6 (<i>R</i>)
4	L4d	S4a	1	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1	81.5 (<i>S</i>)
5	L4e	S4a	1	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1	78.1 (<i>R</i>)
6	L4f	S4a	1	CH ₂ Cl ₂	24	96.7 (<i>R</i>)
7	L4g	S4a	1	CH_2Cl_2	24	90.5 (R)
8	L4h	S4a	1	CH ₂ Cl ₂	24	96.9 (<i>R</i>)
9	L4j	S4a	1	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1	99.6 (<i>S</i>)
10	L4k	S4a	1	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1	82.6 (<i>R</i>)
11	L4l	S4a	1	CH ₂ Cl ₂	24	59.1 (R)
12	L4c	S4b	0.1	CH_2Cl_2	1	98.7 (<i>R</i>)
13	L4c	S4c	0.1	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1	98.8 (<i>R</i>)
14	L4c	S4d	0.1	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1	99.0 (<i>R</i>)
15	L4c	S4e	0.1	CH ₂ Cl ₂	1	99.2 (<i>R</i>)

Table 4. Rh/ferrocene-based ligands L4a-L4l catalyzed hydrogenation of enamides S4a-e

[a] Reactions were performed with a ligand/Rh ratio of 1.1. [b] In all cases, 100% conversion was achieved. [c] Reaction was conducted at 0°C, under 20 bars of H_2 . [d] Similar result was obtained using 0.1 mol % of metal.

Table 5	. Hydrogenatior	ı of itaconate S1a	catalyzed by Rh/	ferrocene-based ligands	L4c, L4f-h and L4l complexes ^[a]
				0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Entry	Ligand	Rh (mol %)	Time ^[b] (h)	e.e. (%)
1	L4c	0.1	0.5	99.9 (<i>S</i>) ^[c]
2	L4f	1	24	99.9 (<i>S</i>)
3	L4h	1	24	99.9 (<i>S</i>)
4	L4g	1	24	94.0 (<i>S</i>)
5	L4l	1	24	19.0 (<i>S</i>)

[a] The reactions were conducted at room temperature under an H_2 pressure of 10 bars. The catalyst was made in situ from $[Rh(cod)_2]BF_4$ and 1.1 equiv. of the ligand.

[b] In all cases, 100% conversion was achieved.

[c] A 99.1% ee value was obtained when 0.01 mol % catalyst was used.

S3k-l, respectively with diphenylphosphinoaryl-based ligands L5a-d and L5f (Scheme 11, Table 7) [18, 20]. The five ligands were tested in the hydrogenation of S3a. [(L5f)Rh(cod)]BF₄ complex proved to be very active since the reaction was completed in 10 minutes at room temperature under 1 bar of H₂ at 1.0 mol % catalyst loading (entry 5). The presence of the second stereogenic element in the matched ligand L5d slightly increased the enantioselectivity to 99.1% ee (entry 4). It is noteworthy that the configuration of the hydrogenated product was always (R), independently of the ligand L5a-d used (entries 1-4). This unexpected result points out that the absolute configuration of the product is not controlled by the chirality of the BINOL moiety but by the phenylethylamine moiety. Moreover, significantly decreasing the Rh-L5d catalyst loading to 0.01 mol % led to a non significant drop of the enantioselectivity (entry 6). It should also be noticed that the efficiency of the reaction depends on the nature of the solvent used [18, 20]. Finally,

ligand **L5d** showed also excellent enantioselectivities in the Rh-catalyzed hydrogenation of compounds **S3h-i** and **S3k-l** (99.0 % ee to over 99.9% ee) [18].

r. 1

Diphenylphosphinoaryl-based ligands **L5a-d** were also evaluated in the rhodium-catalyzed hydrogenation of enamides **S4a**, **S4c-h** (Scheme **12**, Table **8**) [18]. Reactions were conducted in the presence of 1.0 mol % of catalyst under 10 bars of H₂. Good to excellent enantioselectivities were obtained for the hydrogenation of **S4a**, **L5d** exhibiting the best selectivity (99.5% ee) (entry 4). Very high enantioselectivities (98.5-99.9% ee) were also observed in the Rh-L5d catalyzed hydrogenation of enamides **S4c-h** [18].

The catalytic performance of **L5a-d** and **L5f** was also investigated in the rhodium-catalyzed hydrogenation of dimethyl itaconate **S1a** (Scheme **14**, Table **9**) [18, 20]. In the presence of 1.0 mol % of complex $[(L5f)Rh(cod)]BF_4$, the dimethyl itaconate was reduced very rapidly to afford the

NHCOR ¹	[Rh(cod) ₂]BF ₄ /ligand (1.1 equiv.)	NHCOR ¹
R ² COOR	H ₂ (10 bars), 12h CH ₂ Cl ₂	R ² COOR
$(Z)-\mathbf{S5a}: \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Et}, \mathbf{R}^1$	= Me, R ² $=$ Ph	P5a
$(Z)-\mathbf{S5b}: \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Et}, \mathbf{R}^{I}$	= Me, $\mathbb{R}^2 = p$ -Me-Ph	P5b
$(Z)-\mathbf{S5c}: \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{R}$	$A^1 = Me, R^2 = p$ -Me-Ph	P5c
$(Z)-\mathbf{S5d}: \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Et}, \mathbf{R}^{I}$	= Me, $R^2 = p$ -OMe-Ph	P5d
$(Z)-\mathbf{S5e}: \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{R}$	$A^1 = Me, R^2 = p$ -OMe-Ph	P5e
$(Z)-\mathbf{S5f}: \mathbf{R}=\mathbf{Et},\mathbf{R}^1$	= Me, $\mathbf{R}^2 = p$ -Cl-Ph	P5f
$(Z)-\mathbf{S5g}: \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{R}$	$\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{R}^2 = p$ -Cl-Ph	P5g
$(Z)-\mathbf{S5h}: \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{R}^2 = p - \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{P}\mathbf{h}$	P5h
(Z)- S5i : R = Me, R	$^1 = Me, R^2 = m-OMe-Ph$	P5i
$(Z)-\mathbf{S5j}: \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{R}$	$^1 = $ Me, $R^2 = $ Me	D.5.
$(E)-\mathbf{S5j}: \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{R}$	$^1 = $ Me, $R^2 = $ Me	P5j
(Z)-S5k: $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Et}, \mathbf{R}^1$	= Me, R ² $=$ Me	D.51
$(E)-\mathbf{S5k}: \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Et}, \mathbf{R}^{\dagger}$	$^{1} = Me, R^{2} = Me$	PSK
(Z)- S5l : R = Me, R	$^1 = Ph, R^2 = Me$	P51
(Z)- S5m : R = Me, I	$\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{t}$	P5m
(E)- S5m : R = Me,	$\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{t}$	1 5111
$(Z)-\mathbf{S5n}: \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{R}^2 = i\mathbf{P}\mathbf{r}$	P5n
$(E)-\mathbf{S5n}: \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}, \mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{R}^2 = i\mathbf{P}\mathbf{r}$	1 511

Scheme 13.

product in high ee (96.2%, entry 2). Using ligand L5d allowed for increasing the enantioselectivity to 99.9% ee (entry 1).

Zhang applied ligands L7a-b in the Rh-catalyzed hydrogenation of enamides S4a, S4n-t (Scheme 15, Table 10) [24b]. Rh/7a-catalyzed hydrogenation of S4a yielded the product with a high ee (99%, entry 1). When the catalyst loading was decreased to 0.1 mol %, only a minor deterioration in the ee was observed (98%, entry 1). The authors also noticed that the use of a more polar or protic solvent led to a drop in the enantioselectivity [24b]. The reduction of enamides S4e and S4i-m also afforded products with high ee values (96-99%) [24b]. The efficiency of ligands L7a-b was also tested in the reduction of hindered *ortho*-substituted arylenamides S4n-S4t (entries 2-10). Very interesting results were obtained for these difficult substrates as, for each enamide, the ee value was higher than the best ee value reported in the literature [24b].

The performance of ligands L7a-d was also investigated in the rhodium-catalyzed hydrogenation of α -dehydroamino acid esters S2, S3a, S3h-i and S3l-r (Scheme 11, Table 11) [24a]. Solvent optimization in the Rh/L7a catalyzed hydrogenation of S3a revealed acetone and MEK to be superior to many other solvents [24a]. Several compounds were then tested in the reaction with each of the four ligands and the best of the four results is given in Table 11. Both aromatic and aliphatic substrates were hydrogenated with high to very high enantioselectivities (96.8-99.4% ee), the nature of the best ligand being dependant on the substrate.

The catalytic performance of ligands L7a-d was also tested in the Rh-catalyzed hydrogenation of itaconates S1a-d (Scheme 16, Table 12) [24a]. Various solvents were

Entry	Ligand	Substrate	Rh (mol %)	Temperature (°C)	e.e. (%)
1	L4c	(Z)-S5a	1.0	RT	65
2	L4i	(Z)-S5a	1.0	5	>99 (<i>R</i>)
3	L4i	(Z)-S5a	0.1	5	98 (<i>R</i>)
4	L4i	(Z)-S5j	1.0	5	92 (<i>S</i>)
5	L4i	(E)-S5j	1.0	5	98 (<i>R</i>)
6	L4i	(<i>E</i>)-S5m	1.0	5	99 (<i>R</i>)
7	L4i	(E)-S5m	0.1	5	95 (<i>R</i>)

Table 6. Hydrogenation of β -(acylamino)acrylates S5a-S5n catalyzed by Rh/ferrocene-based ligands L4c and L4i complexes^[a]

[a] In all cases, 100% conversion was achieved.

Table 7. Rh/L5a-d and Rh/L5f	-catalyzed hydrogenat	ion of α-dehydroami	no acid derivative S3a ^{ta}
------------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------	--------------------------------------

Entry	Ligand	Substrate	Ligand/Rh (mol %)	Pressure (bar)	Time (h) ^[b]	e.e. (%)
1	L5a	S3a	1.1/1.0	10	12	17.3 (<i>R</i>)
2	L5b	S3a	1.1/1.0	10	12	98.1 (<i>R</i>)
3	L5c	S3a	1.1/1.0	10	12	48.5 (<i>R</i>)
4	L5d	S3a	1.1/1.0	10	12	99.1 (<i>R</i>)
5	L5f	S3a	1.0 ^[c]	1	0.167	97.9 (<i>S</i>)
6	L5d	S3a	0.011/0.01	10	12	98.8 (R)

[a] Reactions were carried out in CH₂Cl₂. [b] Complete conversion was obtained in all reactions. [c] Isolated [(L5f) Rh(cod)]BF₄ complex was used.

Table 8. Rh/L5a-d catalyzed hydrogenation of enamide S4a^[a, b,c]

Entry	Ligand	e.e. (%)
1	L5a	95.9 (<i>R</i>)
2	L5b	98.6 (<i>R</i>)
3	L5c	73.9 (<i>R</i>)
4	L5d	99.5 (<i>R</i>)

[a] All reactions were carried out with 1 mol % of [Rh(cod)]BF₄ and 1.1 mol % of ligand.

[b] In all cases, reactions were conducted for 12h and 100% conversion was achieved.

[c] CH₂Cl₂ was used as the solvent.



Scheme 14.

Table 9. Rh/L5d and Rh/L5f catalyzed hydrogenation of itaconate $S1a^{[a]}$

Entry	Catalyst (mol %)	H ₂ Pressure (bar)	Time (h)	e.e. (%)
1	$Rh(cod)_2BF_4/L5d(1)^{[b]}$	10	12	99.9
2	$[(L5f)Rh(cod)]BF_4(1)^{[c]}$	1	0.43	96.2 (<i>R</i>)

[a] Complete conversion was obtained in all reaction.

[b] Catalyst was prepared in situ with 1.1 equiv. of ligand L5d.

[c] Isolated [(L5f) Rh(cod)]BF₄ complex was used.

screened in the Rh/L7a-catalyzed hydrogenation of compounds S1a-d. Emphasizing the key role of the solvent in this reaction, it was observed that both the enantioselectivity

Table 10. Hydrogenation of enamides S4a, S4n-t catalyzed by Rh/L7a-b^[a]



Scheme 15.

and the absolute configuration were solvent dependant. The results obtained with dimethyl itaconate **S1a** are given in entries 1-8. This unusual result may be explained by the involvement of the solvent in key enantiodifferentiating steps. Reactions were also carried out with each of the four ligands under the best conditions. The best of the four results is given for each substrate in entries 8-11. Very high enantiose-lectivities (98.8-99.6% ee) were obtained with each itaconate, the best ligand being either **L7a** or **L7d**.

The Rh-catalyzed hydrogenation of β -aryl enol ester phosphonates **S6a-k** was recently examined by Zheng, using ligands **L5h-i** (Scheme **17**, Table **13**) [22]. Excellent enantioselectivities (99.2-99.9% ee) were obtained regardless of

Entry	Ligand	Substrate	Temperature (°C)/ H_2 Pressure (bar)	e.e. (%)
1	L7a	S4a	RT/ 1	99 (<i>R</i>) ^[b]
2	L7a	S4n	RT/ 5	84.0 (<i>R</i>)
3	L7a	S4n	0/ 25	91.0 (<i>R</i>)
4	L7a	S4o	RT/ 5	99.4 (<i>R</i>)
5	L7a	S4p	RT/ 5	99.0 (<i>R</i>)
6	L7a	S4q	RT/ 5	99.6 (<i>R</i>)
7	L7a	S4r	RT/ 5	98.2 (n.c.)
8	L7b	S4s	RT/ 5	94.2 (n.c.)
9	L7b	S4t	RT/ 5	92.8 (<i>R</i>)
10	L7b	S4t	RT/ 5	93.8 (<i>R</i>) ^[c]

[a] In all reactions, full conversion was obtained. [b] A 98% ee value was obtained at a 0.1 mol % catalyst loading. [c] 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol was used as solvent.

the substrate (entries 1-3 and [22]) when the matched ligand (Rc, Ra)-L5h was used in CH₂Cl₂ or in *i*PrOH. It has to be noticed that the ee value was not affected when S6a was hydrogenated under a lower catalyst loading (0.1 mol %, entry 1). Interestingly, the authors observed that the formation of the chiral carbon in the product was mainly controlled by the chiral carbon of the tetrahydronaphtylamine moiety (compare results of entries 1 and 2). The study was extended to β -alkoxy enol ester phosphonates S61-n, β -alkyl-substituted and β -unsubstituted enol ester phosphonates S60-q. Again, excellent ee values were obtained for all substrates (98.9-99.9% ee, entries 4-6 and [22]). It is worth noting that the enantioselectivity and the conversion were not altered when S60 was hydrogenated in the presence of a lower catalyst loading (0.1 mol %, entry 5). It must be underlined that the enantioselectivities obtained with ligand L5h are the best reported to date in the hydrogenation of this familly of challenging substrates.

2.1.3.2. Ru-catalyzed Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Aromatic Ketones [12b]

When ligands L1a or L1b were used in the presence of diamine 26 as the co-catalyst, acetophenone S7a was converted, after 16h, respectively to (S) and (R) isomers of alcohol P7a with good conversions and moderate to good enantioselectivities (Scheme 18, Table 14, entries 1 and 2). An increase in the conversion was obtained when the reaction was carried out in the presence of chiral diamine 27 instead of diamine 26 (entries 3, 4). However, the use of the L1a/27 combination led to a significant drop in the ee value (6% ee, entry 3) while the use of the L1b/27 system resulted in a high ee value (94% ee, entry 4). It clearly appears that the former combination matched worse while the latter matched best among all four possibilities (entries 3-6). It is interesting to underline that the formation of the chiral carbon in the product is mainly controlled by the chiral carbon of the dihydroquinoline moiety (compare product configura-

Entry	Ligand	Substrate	e.e. (%)
1	L7c	S3a	98.8 (<i>R</i>)
2	L7b	S3h	97.0 (<i>R</i>)
3	L7d	S3i	98.2 (<i>R</i>)
4	L7c	S31	99.4 (<i>R</i>)
5	L7c	S3m	98.4 (<i>R</i>)
6	L7c	S3n	98.4 (<i>R</i>)
7	L7a	S3 0	97.4 (<i>R</i>)
8	L7d	S3p	96.8 (<i>R</i>)
9	L7d	S3q	99.2 (<i>R</i>)
10	L7d	S3r	96.8 (<i>R</i>)
11	L7d	S2	99.2 (<i>R</i>)

[[]a] Reactions were performed with 1.0 mol % of the catalyst, under 1 bar of H₂ pressure at room temperature for 18h in acetone. A full conversion was obtained in all reactions.



Scheme 16.

tions in entries 1-2 and entries 3-6). Finally, similar results were obtained with substrates **S7b** and **S7c** [12b].

Table 12. Hydrogenation of itaconates S1a-d catalyzed by Rh/L7a-d^[a]

Entry	Ligand	Substrate	Solvent	e.e. (%)
1	L7a	S1a	CH_2Cl_2	96.6 (<i>R</i>)
2	L7a	S1a	toluene	3.0 (<i>R</i>)
3	L7a	S1a	THF	10.4 (<i>R</i>)
4	L7a	S1a	AcOEt	11.6 (<i>S</i>)
5	L7a	S1a	acetone	39.6 (<i>S</i>)
6	L7a	S1a	MEK	30.4 (<i>S</i>)
7	L7a	S1a	MeOH	32.0 (<i>R</i>)
8	L7a or L7d	S1a	TFE	99.2 (<i>R</i>)
9	L7d	S1b	TFE	99.6 (<i>R</i>)
10	L7a	S1c	TFE	98.8 (<i>R</i>)
11	L7d	S1d	TFE	99.6 (<i>R</i>)

[a] Complete conversion was obtained in all reactions except for reactions of entries 2, 4 and 7.

R´	P(O)(OMe) ₂	[Rh(cod) ₂]BF ₄ (1 mol %)/ligand (1.1 equiv.)	$R \xrightarrow{P(O)(OMe)_2}$
	 OBz	H ₂ (10 bar), 12h, RT	OBz
	S6a : R = Ph		P6a
	$\mathbf{S6b}: \mathbf{R} = p\text{-}\mathbf{F}\text{-}\mathbf{Ph}$		P6b
	S6c : $\mathbf{R} = p$ -Cl-Ph		P6c
	S6d : $\mathbf{R} = p$ -Br-Ph		P6d
	S6e : $\mathbf{R} = p$ -NO ₂ -Ph		P6e
	S6f : $\mathbf{R} = p$ -MeO-Ph		P6f
	$\mathbf{S6g}$: R = <i>m</i> -MeO-Ph		P6g
	$\mathbf{S6h}$: R = <i>m</i> -Cl-Ph		P6h
	S6i : R = <i>o</i> -Cl-Ph		P6i
	S6j: R = 1-naphtyl		P6j
	$\mathbf{S6k}$: R = 2-thienyl		P6k
	S6l: R = OMe		P61
	S6m : R = OEt		P6m
	$\mathbf{S6n}$: R = O <i>i</i> Pr		P6n
	$\mathbf{S60}: \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{H}$		P60
	S6p : R = Me		Рбр
	S6q: R = Et		P6q

Scheme 17.

Table 13. Rh/L5h-i catalyzed hydrogenation of β -alkyl- and β -aryl enol ester phosphonates S6a-q^[a]

Entry	Ligand	Substrate	Solvent	e.e. (%)
1	L5h	S6a	CH_2Cl_2	99.4 ^[b]
2	L5i	S6a	CH_2Cl_2	60.3 ^[c]
3	L5h	S6a	iPrOH	99.5
4	L5h	S61	CH_2Cl_2	99.7
5	L5h	S60	iPrOH	99.5 ^[b]
6	L5h	S6q	iPrOH	99.9

[a] Complete conversion was observed for all reactions.

[b] A similar result was obtained when the catalyst loading was decreased to $0.1 \mod \%$.

[c] The major enantiomer was the same in the reactions of entries 1 and 2.

2.1.3.3. Hydroformylation

The organotransition metal-catalyzed enantioselective hydroformylation of alkenes is a very challenging reaction and only few efficient catalysts have been reported for this reaction [19]. Diastereoisomeric ligands **L1a** and **L1b** were evaluated towards Rh-catalyzed hydroformylation of styrene **S8a** (Scheme **19**) [12a]. The reaction gave, with both ligand,

a mixture of the linear and the branched aldehyde in favour of the latter in high regioselectivity. However, the (Rc,Ra)-L1a and (Sc,Ra)-L1b ligands gave aldehyde **P8a** respectively with a poor (4.8%) and a somewhat high (74.0%) ee.

Reek considered the synthesis of **P8a** by Rh/L3a-d catalyzed hydroformylation of styrene (Scheme **20**, Table **15**) [13]. A high regioselectivity (17/1) in favour of the branched isomer **P8a** was obtained with **L3b** but the ee was moderate (50%) and the conversion was not complete after 65h (entry 2). On the other hand, a nearly full conversion was observed with ligand **L3d** and the isomer **P8a** was isolated with a rather good ee value (72%, entry 4). Very poor results were obtained with ligands **L3a** and **L3c** (entries 1 and 3).

Ligand **L5e** was tested in the Rh-catalyzed hydroformylation of styrenes **S8a-g** and vinyl acetate **S8h** (Scheme **20**, Table **16**) [19]. The reaction was first studied on styrene **S8a**. Search for optimal conditions showed that the enantioselectivity was affected by the nature of the solvent and the temperature but not by the total pressure. Nevertheless, a drop in the reaction rate was observed at higher pressure (entry 2). Under the optimized reaction conditions (entry 1), a complete conversion was observed, the mixture of aldehydes was obtained with moderate regioselectivity and an unprece-



Table 14. Ru/QUINAPHOS-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of acetophenone S7a

Entry	Ligand	Diamine	Conv. (%)	e.e. (%)
1	L1a	26	91	65 (<i>S</i>)
2	L1b	26	90	80 (<i>R</i>)
3	L1a	27	>99	6 (<i>R</i>)
4	L1b	27	>99	94 (<i>R</i>)
5	L1c	27	>99	36 (<i>S</i>)
6	L1d	27	>99	75 (<i>R</i>)



Scheme 19.

R	[Rh(acac)(CO) ₂] /Ligand (4 equiv.)	CHO R	R CHO
	H ₂ /CO: 1/1	R	ix i
S8a : R = Ph		P8a	P9a
$\mathbf{S8b}: \mathbf{R} = p \cdot \mathbf{Me}$	e-Ph	P8b	P9b
S8c : R = p - F - H	Ph	P8c	P9c
$\mathbf{S8d}: \mathbf{R} = o\text{-}\mathbf{F}\text{-}\mathbf{I}$	Ph	P8d	P9d
S8e : R = p-Cl-	-Ph	P8e	P9e
$\mathbf{S8f}: \mathbf{R} = p \cdot \mathbf{Me}$	eO-Ph	P8f	P9f
$\mathbf{S8g}: \mathbf{R} = p - i\mathbf{Bu}$	u-Ph	P8g	P9g
$\mathbf{S8h}: \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{OAc}$		P8h	P9h

Scheme 20.

Table 15. Rh/L3a-d catalyzed hydroformylation of styrene S8a^[a]

Entry	Ligand	Time (h)	Temp. (°C)	Conv. (%)	P8a/P9a	e.e. (%)
1	L3a	48	60	3	2	0
2	L3b	65	40	84	17	50 (<i>R</i>)
3	L3c	19	60	99	12	9 (<i>R</i>)
4	L3d	19	40	96	10	72 (<i>R</i>)

[a] Reactions were conducted in toluene with 0.1 mol % of catalyst under 10 bars of pressure.

dented very high enantioselectivity was reached for the branched isomer **P8a** (98% ee). It must be noted that racemization of the product was very low under the reaction conditions as the ee was only lowered from 98% to 97% when the reaction time was increased from 24h to 36h (entry 3). It is also worth noting that the catalytic system remained very efficient when the catalyst loading was decreased to 0.01 mol % (entry 4). Under these conditions, the enantioselectivity was not altered and the conversion was only slightly di-

minished to 89%. The reaction was also performed under optimized conditions with various substituted styrenes **S8b**-**g**. No influence of the substituant on the aromatic ring was noticed since regioselectivities were in the range of 86/14-91/9 and enantioselectivities were high for all substrates tested (98-99% ee). When vinyl acetate **S8h** was engaged in the reaction, a drop in the conversion and a slight decrease in the enantioselectivity were observed (entry 5).

Entry	Substrate	H ₂ /CO Pressure (atm)	Time (h)	Conv. (%)	P8/P9	e.e. (%)
1	S8a	10/10	24	>99	88/12	98 (R)
2	S8a	30/30	24	83	88/12	98 (R)
3	S8a	10/10	36	>99	88/12	97 (<i>R</i>)
4 ^[b]	S8a	10/10	24	89	88/12	98 (R)
5	S8h	10/10	24	75	93/7	96 (<i>S</i>)

Table 16. Rh/L5e-catalyzed hydroformylation of styrene S8a and vinyl acetate S8h^[a]

[a] Reactions were performed in benzene with a catalyst loading of 0.1 mol % at 60°C.

[b] Catalyst loading of 0.01 mol %.





2.1.3.4. Cu-Catalyzed Conjugate Addition on Enones

Ligand L6 was evaluated in the Cu-catalyzed conjugate addition of diethylzinc to cyclohexenone S9b (Scheme 21) [23]. A nearly complete conversion was obtained after 3h at 0°C, but the enantioselectivity was moderate with a ee value of 53%.

2.2. Phosphoramidite-Phosphite Ligands

2.2.1. Overview

Monodentate phosphoramidites and monodentate phosphites constitute two efficient classes of chiral ligands which induce good enantioselectivities in a wide range of transformations. Indeed, efforts focused on the combination of those two chelating groups have allowed for the synthesis of various hybrid bidentate phosphoramidite-phosphite ligands. The synthesis of such compounds requires the use of amino alcohols to provide, in one hand the phosphoramidite moiety and on the other hand the phosphite moiety, by reaction with various chlorophosphites. Thus, different structures have been designed from sugars, α -amino acids, ephedrine and tropanes skeletons.

2.2.2. Synthesis of Phosphoramidite-Phosphite Ligands

The first synthesis of a phosphoramidite-phosphite ligand was reported by Agbossou and co-workers in 2000 [29]. Compounds **L8a-L8d** were straightforwardly prepared by treatment of ephedrine with an excess of various chlorophosphites in presence of triethylamine. After filtration through a basic alumina plug, this straightforward synthesis afforded compounds **L8a-L8d** with moderate to good yields (Scheme **22**).

Subsequently to this first report, the same group published the synthesis of prolinol-based phosphoramiditephosphites [30]. Following a similar procedure than the one used before, new unsymmetrical ligands were isolated in high yields (Scheme 23). However, it was found that ligand L9 was less stable than L10 because of the fragility of its P—N bond.

This strategy was later used by the group of Cesarotti to synthesize BINOL-containing analogues L11 and L12 (Scheme 24) [31].

Moreover, Diéguez and co-workers designed a series of phosphoramidite-phosphite ligands based on a furanoside







Scheme 24.

Scheme 23.

backbone [32, 33, 34a]. Ligands **L13-L16** were efficiently synthesized from the corresponding amino alcohols **29** and **30**, which could be easily obtained from inexpensive D-(+)-xylose [34b-c]. The reaction of these compounds in the presence of pyridine with two equivalents of the desired in situ formed chlorophosphite produced ligands **L13-L16** in good overall yields (Scheme **25**).

The BINOL-containing counterparts **L17-L18** have been synthesized using the same strategy with lower yields (Scheme **26**) [35].

Few years later, the synthesis of pyranoside analogues was also reported. Starting from D-Glucosamine and using the strategy previously described, ligands **L19a-L19c**, which exhibit different steric and electronic properties, were prepared (Scheme **27**) [36b, 37].

Hybrid phosphoramidite-phosphite based on a tropane skeleton have been reported by the group of Laschat (Scheme 28) [38]. The key amino alcohol 35 was obtained in a five-step procedure. Tropinone 34 was prepared via [4+3] cycloaddition of N-protected pyrrol 32 with tetrabromoacetone 33. Then, acetalization of ketone 34 was followed by an enantioselective hydroboration which afforded a N-protected amino alcohol. Finally, a catalytic hydrogenation gave the free amino alcohol 35, precursor for the ligand synthesis. Treatment of compound 35, in presence of triethylamine and catalytic amounts of DMAP at room temperature, with BI- NOL-derived chlorophosphites provided the desired ligands **L20a-b** in good yields. It is noteworthy that the preparation of H8-BINOL derived counterparts **L20c** and **L20d** was more difficult to carry out. Indeed, an alternative method using *n*-BuLi had to be used for the deprotonation of **35** before the addition of H8-BINOL-derived chlorophosphites and even under these conditions, only low yields were obtained.

2.2.3. Evaluation of Phosphoramidite-Phosphite Ligands in Asymmetric Catalysis

The ligands previously described have been involved in various asymmetric transformations catalyzed by transition metals. An overview of these reactions will be presented in the next sections.

2.2.3.1. Hydrogenation of Carbon-Carbon Double Bonds

Ligands L11, L13-16 and L20a-d have been evaluated in the Rh-catalyzed hydrogenation of β -(acylamino)acrylates (Scheme 29, Table 17) [31, 34a, 38]. In a general manner, phosphoramidite-phosphite L11 was not found very efficient for the reduction of S3a and S11. Only low enantioselectivities were observed ranging from 59 to 67% (entries 1-3). However, sugar based-ligands developed by Diéguez and coworkers showed good results in this transformation and also for the hydrogenation of itaconate derivatives (Scheme 30, Table 18). A thorough evaluation of the catalytic activity of





Scheme 25.

D-(+)-xylose

t-Bu

t-Bu

L13-16 highlighted that the chiral induction was strongly dependent of the carbohydrate backbone C3 stereocenter. A S configuration at C3 and tert-butyl groups in both ortho and para positions of the biphenyl moieties was the best combination to reach high enantioselectivities (Table 17, entries 4-7 and Table 18, entries 2-5). Interestingly, tropane-based ligands and especially L20a led to good results with regard to yield and selectivity even under only 1 bar of hydrogen pressure (Table 17, entry 14 and Table 18, entry 9). However, it is noteworthy that phosphoramidite-phosphite ligands seem to be slightly less efficient than their phosphine-containing counterparts for this transformation.

t-Bu

t-Bu

t-Bu

t-Bu

NH

L15

-Bu

. t-Bu

2.2.3.2. Hydroformylation

The rhodium-catalyzed hydroformylation of styrene represents the first application of phosphoramidite-phosphite ligands in asymmetric catalysis (Scheme 31, Table 19) [29]. Ligands L8a,b,d induced regioelectivities ranging from 60 to 90 % in favour of the branched product P8a but only displayed poor enantioselectivities (Table 19, entries 1-3). Additionally, the evaluation of L9 under similar reaction conditions afforded also modest results (Table 19, entries 4 and 5) [30]. Ligands L13-16 based on a furanoside backbone were successfully applied to this reaction improving significantly the regioselectivity and the chiral induction (Table 19, entries 6-12) [32]. Indeed, 96 to 97% of branched product P8a was obtained with enantiomeric excesses up to 65%. The authors also highlighted that partial pressures of CO and H₂ influenced the catalytic activity in terms of TOF; higher partial pressures of H₂ leading to better conversion values (Table 19, entries 6-8). Moreover, variations of the ligand / rhodium ratio showed that these catalytic systems are highly

L16

Boeda et al.



Scheme 27.

stable under hydroformylation conditions and that no excess of ligand is required (Table **19**, entry 9). Nevertheless, it should be noted that once again phosphoramidite-phosphine ligands seem to be better tools for this transformation.

Ligand L11 was also applied to rhodium-catalyzed hydroformylation reaction of vinylacetate S8h (Scheme 32) [31]. The branched product P8h was obtained with a good regio- albeit a poor enantioselectivity.

Stable platinum complexes bearing ligand L9 were evaluated in the hydroformylation of styrene (Scheme 33, Table 20) [30]. Only modest enantioselectivities (30-44% e.e.) and regioselectivities were observed. Additionnally,

undesired by-products of hydrogenation reaction were detected in quite large amounts (20-35%).

2.2.3.3. Cu-Catalyzed Conjugate Addition on Enones

Encouraged by the success of monodentate phosphoramidite and phosphite ligands in the copper-catalyzed conjugate addition reaction, several groups developed and tested hybrid ligands bearing these two functionalities for this process. Thus, the conjugate addition of diethylzinc to cyclohexenone **S9b** using furanoside-containing ligand **L13** was studied in 2001 by Diéguez and co-workers (Scheme **34**) [33]. Unfortunately, only 30% e.e. was reached under these conditions. More recently, the same group reported a





Scheme 29.

Entry	Ligand	Substrate	Pressure (bar)	Time (h)	Conv. (%)	e.e. (%)
$1^{[a]}$	L11	S3a	10	1.5	100	63 (<i>R</i>)
2 ^[a,b]	L11	S3a	100	1	100	67 (<i>R</i>)
3 ^[a]	L11	S11	1	6	100	59 (R)
4	L13	S3a	5	8	77	94 (<i>S</i>)
5 ^[c]	L13	S3a	30	12	72	98 (S)
6	L13	S2	5	8	100	92 (<i>S</i>)
7 ^[c]	L13	S2	30	12	100	98 (S)
8	L14	S3a	5	8	53	85 (S)
9	L14	S2	5	8	71	82 (S)
10	L15	S3a	5	8	29	18 (S)
11	L15	S2	5	8	46	15 (S)
12	L16	S3a	5	8	35	17 (S)
13	L16	82	5	8	33	12 (S)
14	L20a	S3a	1	12	100	95 (<i>R</i>)
15	L20b	S3a	1	12	100	85 (S)
16	L20c	S3a	1	12	100	88 (R)
17	L20d	S3a	1	12	100	84 (S)

Table 17. Hydrogenation of β-(acylamino)acrylates catalyzed by rhodium/ phosphoramidite-phosphite complexes

[a] Reaction performed in THF. [b] Reaction performed at 0°C. [c] Reaction performed at 5°C.

$$\begin{array}{c} O \\ R \\ \hline CO_2Me \\ \mathbf{S1a}: R = OCH_3 \\ \mathbf{S10}: R = CH_3 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} 1 \mod \% \ [Rh(cod)_2]BF_4/Ligand (1equiv) \\ H_2, CH_2Cl_2, RT \\ \hline P1a \\ P11 \end{array}} R \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} O \\ CO_2Me \\ P1a \\ P11 \end{array}}$$

Scheme 30.

Table 18. Hydrogenation of itaconate derivatives catalyzed by Rhodium/ phosphoramidite-phosphite complexes

Entry	Ligand	Substrate	Pressure (bar)	Time (h)	Conv. (%)	e.e. (%)
1	L13	S10	1	20	100	65 (<i>R</i>)
2	L13	S10	5	8	100	96 (R)
3	L13	S10	30	1.5	100	97 (<i>R</i>)
4 ^[a]	L13	S10	30	10	100	97 (<i>R</i>)
5 ^[b]	L13	S10	30	12	100	>99 (<i>R</i>)
6	L14	S10	5	8	86	86 (R)
7	L15	S10	5	8	46	34 (<i>R</i>)
8	L16	S10	5	8	40	30 (<i>R</i>)
9	L20a	S1a	1	12	100	85 (<i>S</i>)
10	L20b	S1a	1	12	100	62 (<i>R</i>)
11	L20c	S1a	1	12	100	80 (<i>S</i>)
12	L20d	S1a	1	12	100	17 (<i>R</i>)

[a] Catalyst loading 0.1 mol %. [b] Reaction performed at 5°C.



Scheme 31.

Entry	Ligand	Time (h)	Temp. (°C)	Conv. (%)	P8a/P9a	e.e. (%)
1 ^[a]	L8a	48	80	100	9	8 (<i>R</i>)
2 ^[a]	L8b	48	80	45	1.5	5 (<i>R</i>)
3 ^[a]	L8d	48	80	94	4	8 (<i>R</i>)
4 ^[a]	L9	48	80	100	1.7	5 (<i>S</i>)
5 ^[a]	L9	48	40	15	7.3	19 (<i>S</i>)
6 ^[b]	L13	24	40	68	32.3	35 (<i>S</i>)
7 ^[b,c]	L13	24	40	46	32.3	36 (<i>S</i>)
8 ^[b,d]	L13	24	40	35	32.3	35 (<i>S</i>)
9 ^[b,e]	L13	24	40	69	32.3	36 (<i>S</i>)
10 ^[b]	L14	24	40	83	24	55 (S)
11 ^[b]	L15	24	40	100	24	15 (<i>S</i>)
12 ^[b]	L16	24	40	100	24	19 (<i>S</i>)

Table 19. Hydroformylation of styrene catalyzed by rhodium/ phosphoramidite-phosphite complexes

[a] Catalyst prepared in situ from [Rh₄(CO)₁₂], L/Rh = 1.5, catalyst loading 25 ppm, P = 12 bar, CO/H₂ = 1/1. [b] Catalyst prepared in situ from [Rh(acac)(CO)₂], L/Rh = 1.1, catalyst loading 0.1 mol %, P = 10 bar, CO/H₂ = 1/2. [c] CO/H₂ = 1/1. [d] CO/H₂ = 2/1. [e] Rh/L = 0.5.





Scheme 33.

Scheme 32.

Table 20. Hydroformylation of styrene S8a catalyzed by [PtCl₂L9] complex^[a]

Entry	Temp. (°C)	Conv. (%)	TOF (h ⁻¹)	P8a/P9a	e.e. (%)
1	50	97	36	1.2	30 (<i>S</i>)
2 ^[b]	50	98	38	1.1	30 (<i>S</i>)
3	30	54	20	1.1	38 (<i>S</i>)
4	20	41	15	1.1	44 (<i>S</i>)

[a] Catalyst loading 10 ppm, t = 18h. [b] CO/H₂ = 1/1.



Scheme 34.

study concerning the application of furanoside-based ligands **L19b-c** for the asymmetric 1,4-addition of trialkylaluminium reagents to acyclic enones **S12** and **S13** (Scheme **34**) [37]. Once again, modest results were observed in terms of chiral induction (17 and 26% e.e). It is noteworthy that the high conformational mobility of these substrates makes the design of effective enantioselective systems a real challenge [39].



Scheme 35.

Ligands bearing a tropane scaffold have been investigated in Cu-mediated 1,4-additions to cyclic and acyclic enones (Schemes **35** and **36**, Tables **21** and **22**) [38]. A very

 Table 21. Cu-catalyzed conjugate 1,4-addition of diethylzinc to cyclic enones S9a-d

Entry	Substrate	L	Yield (%)	e.e. (%)
1	S9a	L20a	46	87 (<i>S</i>)
2	S9a	L20b	81	5 (R)
3	S9a	L20c	55	81 (S)
4	S9a	L20d	70	1 (<i>R</i>)
5	S9b	L20a	99	90 (<i>S</i>)
6	S9b	L20c	98	91 (<i>S</i>)
7	S9c	L20a	70	81 (S)
8	S9c	L20c	79	73 (S)
9	S9d	L20a	89	79 (<i>S</i>)
10	S9d	L20c	83	83 (<i>S</i>)

pronounced matched/mismatched effect was observed with ligands **L20a-d** for the conjugated addition of diethylzinc to cyclopentenone **S9a** (Table **21**, entries 1-4). The (*S*,*S*)-diastereomer **L20a** and the (*R*,*R*)-diastereomer **L20c** turned out to be the matched ligands. As a general trend, interesting enantioselectivities ranging from 73 to 91% e.e. were obtained with these two ligands for cyclic enones **S9a-d**.

These studies were extended to acyclic substrates. While modest enantioselectivities could be reached with aliphatic substrate **S14** by using ligands **L20a** and **L20d** (Table **22**, entries 1-2), better, albeit only fairly good, results were obtained with aromatic-containing analogues **S15-19**. Moreover, electron-rich groups substituted enones improved the performance of ligand **L20a** (Table **22**, entries 3, 7 and 9) allowing a rise to 59% e.e.



Scheme 36.

2.2.3.4. Allylic Substitution Reactions

Several studies dealing with allylic substitution reactions catalyzed by palladium phosphoramidite-phosphite complexes have been reported. Diéguez and co-workers used their sugar-based ligands for the Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation of disubstituted linear substrates **S20a-c** (Scheme **37**, Table **23**) [35, 36b]. Pd/L19a-c based catalysts proved to be efficient and afforded **P21a** with good ee values (Table **23**, entries 1-3). L19a-c behaved quite similarly with each substrate and the only best result is given for **S20b-c** (Table **23**, entries 12 and 13). On the other hand, the screening of ligands **L13-18** highlighted that the substituents of the

Entry	Substrate	L	Yield (%)	e.e. (%)
1	S14	L20a	96	18 (<i>R</i>)
2	S14	L20d	67	17 (<i>R</i>)
3	S15	L20a	46	36 (<i>R</i>)
4	S15	L20b	87	48 (S)
5	S16	L20b	98	56 (n.d.)
6	S16	L20d	96	67 (n.d.)
7	S17	L20a	32	56 (n.d.)
8	S17	L20c	97	24 (n.d.)
9	S18	L20a	54	59 (n.d.)
10	S18	L20c	84	39 (n.d.)
11	S19	L20b	59	53 (n.d.)

Table 22. Cu-catalyzed conjugate 1,4-addition of diethylzinc to acyclic enones S14-19

n.d.: not determined

biphenyl moieties, the configuration of carbon atom C-3 and the configuration of binaphtyl groups influenced the catalytic performance in terms of activity and chiral induction (Table **23**, entries 4-11). In summary, the best enantioselectivity (e.e. up to 98%) was obtained with **L17b** (Table **23**, entry 9). It should be noted that a strong matched/mismatched effect was also observed with this catalytic system (Table **23**, entries 8-9 and 10-11).

The potential of these ligands was then evaluated for the alkylation of disubstituted cyclic substrates **S21a-b** (Scheme 38, Table **24**) [35,36b]. The results of the study of ligands **L19a-c** indicate that substituents at *para* positions of the biphenyl moieties are required for better asymmetric inductions (Table **24**, entry 3 *vs.* 1 and 2; entry 6 *vs.* 4 and 5). Remarkably, despite a lower reactivity, higher enantioselectivities were obtained with seven-membered ring substrate **S21b** than with six-membered ring substrate **S21a**. On the other hand, the use of ligand **L15** afforded interesting results for the allylic alkylation of **S21a** and **S21b** reaching 85 and 91% e.e. respectively (Table **24**, entries 7 and 8).

Finally, the allylic substitution of monosubstituted linear substrates was also examinated (Scheme 39, Table 25) [35,36b]. Besides the enantioselectivity, the control of the regioselectivity still remains a challenge for this transformation. As illustrated in Table 25, only ligand L18a allowed for both interesting regio- and enantioselectivities (Table 25, entry 4).



Scheme 37.

Table 23. Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation of disubstituted linear substrates S20a-c

Entry	Substrate	L	Time (h)	Conv. (%)	e.e. (%)
1	S20a	L19a	0.5	100	84 (<i>R</i>)
2	S20a	L19b	0.5	100	76 (<i>R</i>)
3	S20a	L19c	0.5	100	81 (<i>R</i>)
4 ^[a]	S20a	L13	0.25	88	62 (<i>S</i>)
5 ^[a]	S20a	L14	0.25	100	59 (S)
6 ^[a]	S20a	L15	0.25	64	55 (S)
7 ^[a]	S20a	L16	0.25	83	52 (S)
8 ^[a]	S20a	L17a	0.5	71	6 (<i>S</i>)
9 ^[a]	S20a	L17b	0.5	96	98 (S)
10 ^[a]	S20a	L18a	2	12	80 (<i>S</i>)
11 ^[a]	S20a	L18b	2	15	12 (S)
12	S20b	L19a	18	100	89 (<i>S</i>)
13	S20c	L19c	0.5	100	61 (<i>R</i>)
14 ^[a]	S20c	L18b	4	82	84 (<i>S</i>)

[a] CH₂Cl₂ was used as solvent.



Scheme 38.

Table 24. Pd-catal	vzed allylic alkylation	of disubstituted c	vclic substrates S21a-b

Entry	Substrate	L	Time (h)	Conv. (%)	e.e. (%)
1	S21a	L19a	2	100	48 (S)
2	S21a	L19b	2	100	46 (<i>S</i>)
3	S21a	L19c	2	100	22 (S)
4	S21b	L19a	2	17	82 (<i>S</i>)
5	S21b	L19b	2	16	81 (<i>S</i>)
6	S21b	L19c	2	12	42 (<i>S</i>)
7 ^[a]	S21a	L15	2	100	85 (<i>S</i>)
8 ^[a]	S21b	L15	6	52	91 (<i>S</i>)

[a] CH₂Cl₂ was used as solvent.





Scheme 39.

 Table 25. Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation of non-symmetric acyclic substrate S22

Entry	L	Conv. (%)	P23/P24	e.e. (%)
1	L19a	100	20/80	72 (<i>S</i>)
2	L19b	100	25/75	28 (S)
3	L19c	100	40/60	41 (S)
4	L18a	73	70/30	90 (<i>S</i>)

2.3. Phosphoramidite-Phosphinite Ligands

2.3.1. Overview

Following the screening of potential chelating groups, the synthesis of new phosphoramidite-phosphinite ligands was

undertaken. This section will deal with the synthesis of these ligands and the applications in which they were involved.

2.3.2. Synthesis of Phosphoramidite-Phosphinite Ligands

The first synthesis of a phosphoramidite-phosphinite ligand was published by the group of Agbossou in 2000 (Scheme 40) [29]. Starting from ephedrine 25, they first introduced the phosphinite moiety by reacting PPh_2NMe_2 with the previous cited amino alcohol. Then, via a classical procedure of phosphoramidite synthesis, they succeeded in preparing ligands **L21a-d** in good to moderate yields.

Few years later, Cesarotti and co-workers reported the synthesis of ligands L22 and L23 (Scheme 41) [31,40]. The chiral amino alcohols 37 and 38 were successively treated with sodium hydride and chlorodiphenylphosphine to form the corresponding amino-phosphinites derivatives. Then, ligands L22 and L23 were synthesized following the classical procedure previously described.



Scheme 40.



Scheme 41.



Scheme 42.

2.3.3. Evaluation of Phosphoramidite-Phosphinite Ligands In Asymmetric Catalysis

Only two asymmetric processes have been investigated with these ligands: the hydrogenation and the hydroformylation of alkenes.

2.3.3.1. Hydrogenation of Carbon-Carbon Double Bonds

The rhodium-catalyzed hydrogenation of β -(acylamino) acrylates **S3a** and **S11** using phosphoramidite-phosphinite **L23** ligand was reported by Cesarotti and co-workers (Scheme **42**, Table **26**) [31]. A slight matched/mismatched effect was detected by comparing **L23** and its (*S*)-BINOL-containing diastereomer (Table **26**, entry 1 *vs*. 3 and entry 2 *vs*. 4), but the enantioselectivities measured were relatively low in both cases (7 to 44% e.e.).

2.3.3.2. Hydroformylation

The use of ligands **L21a-d** in the asymmetric rhodiumcatalyzed hydroformylation of styrene **S8a** has been described by the group of Agbossou (Scheme **43**, Table **27**) [29]. As a general trend, good activities and selectivities in favour of the branched aldehyde **P8a** have been observed. Unfortunately, only poor enantioselectivities were obtained for these reactions (5 to 20% e.e.).

Asymmetric rhodium-catalyzed hydroformylations of additional olefins using phosphoramidite-phosphinite ligands have been investigated by Cesarotti. First, the use of viny-lacetate **S8h** as substrate either with ligand **L22** or with **L23** afforded compound **P8h** with good selectivities but very low e.e. values (Scheme **44**) [31]. In this case, better results were

Table 26. Hydrogenation of β-(acylamino)acrylates catalyzed by Rhodium/ phosphoramidite-phosphinite L23 complex

Entry	Substrate	Pressure (bar)	Time (min)	Conv. (%)	e.e. (%)
1	S3a	100	3	100	44 (<i>S</i>)
2	S11	1	210	100	24 (S)
3 ^[a]	S3a	10	40	100	17 (<i>R</i>)
4 ^[a]	S11	1	300	100	7 (<i>R</i>)

[a] Obtained with (S)-BINOL-containing diastereomer of L23.



Scheme 43.

Table 27. Hydroformylation of styrene catalyzed by rhodium / phosphoramidite-phosphinite L21a-d complexes^[a]

Entry	Ligand	Conv. (%)	P8a/P9a	e.e. (%)
1	L21a	66	11	5 (R)
2	L21b	71	14	5 (<i>R</i>)
3	L21c	86	9	15 (<i>R</i>)
4	L21d	88	9	20 (S)

[a] L/Rh = 1.5, P = 12 bar, CO/H₂ = 1/1.



L22, 70 bar, TOF = 60 h⁻¹, 12% ee, **P8h/P9h** = 95/5 **L23**, 30 bar, TOF = 96 h⁻¹, 19% ee, **P8h/P9h** = 99/1

Scheme 44.



Scheme 45.

obtained with ligand L11, phosphoramidite-phosphite analogue of L22 (see Scheme 32).

On the other hand, the hydroformylation of azetidinones **S23a-b** catalyzed by rhodium/ phosphoramidite-phosphinite **L23** system was studied in the synthesis of 1-methylcarbapenem precursors (Scheme **45**, Table **28**) [40]. Modest regio- and diastereoselectivities were reached in this process. In the case of substrate **S23b**, a strong difference of activity was detected between the two diastereomers of **L23** (Table **28**, entries 2 and 3). The chirality of the major di-

astereoisomer was controlled by the configuration of the BINOL moiety.

2.4. Phosphoramidite-Thioether Ligands

2.4.1. Overview

The first and to date the only phosphoramidite-thioether ligands have been reported by Crévisy and Mauduit in 2006 [23]. These ligands are based on a BINOL skeleton and bear a chelating arm containing a thioether moiety on the amine

Table 28. Hydroformylation of 4-vinyl β-lactams S23 catalyzed by rhodium/ phosphoramidite-phosphinite L23 complex

Entry	Substrate	Time (h)	Conv. (%) ^[a]	e.e.(%)
1	S23a	60	68	22 (S)
2	S23b	17	24	24 (S)
3 ^[b]	S23b	78	12	22 (R)

[a] Conversion measured for **P25+P26**. [b] Obtained with (*S*)-BINOL-containing analogue of **L23**.

part. In this section, both synthesis and applications of these compounds will be discussed.

2.4.2. Synthesis of Phosphoramidite-Thioether Ligands

These bidentate phosphoramidite ligands were synthesized from different commercially available β -amino alcohols for **L24-28** and from *o*-methylthioaniline for **L29** according the synthesis illustrated in Scheme **46** [23]. Following the procedure described by Feringa [4a], amines **39** were first reacted with PCl₃ in the presence of Et₃N before the addition of a BINOL solution. Thus, the corresponding phosphoramidites L24-27, bearing various R^1 and R^2 groups, were obtained in good to moderate yields. A similar route was used for the synthesis of L28 and L29 starting from amines 40 and 41. It is noteworthy that the steric hindrance and the rigidity of the chelating arm could be modulated by using different secondary amines 39-41 for the ligand synthesis.

2.4.3. Evaluation of Phosphoramidite-Thioether Ligands in Asymmetric Catalysis

To date, only the asymmetric copper-catalyzed conjugate addition has been investigated with these bidentate phosphoramidite-thioether ligands.

2.4.3.1. Cu-Catalyzed Conjugate Addition on Enones

Ligands L24-29 have been evaluated in the 1,4-addition of diethylzinc to cyclic and acyclic enones S9b and S13 (Scheme 47, Table 29) [23]. A matched/mismatched effect was observed by comparing L25a and L25b in one hand, and then L26a and L26b on the other hand (Table 29, entries 1, 2, 4 and 5). The influence of R^2 group on the chiral center was also investigated, highlighting that a bulky substituents such as *t*-butyl led to lower activities and selectivities. How-



Scheme 46.



Scheme 47.

ever, the absence of a stereogenic center in that position led to a strong decrease of the enantioselectivity for acyclic enone **S9b** (Table **29**, entry 10) whereas a slighter effect was noticed with a cyclic substrate (Table **29**, entry 3). The introduction of a benzyl group on R¹ position did not improve the results (Table **29**, entry 6). Likewise, more rigid ligands such as **L28** and **L29** were not found very efficient for this application (Table **29**, entries 7 and 8). In summary, the best results were reached with **L25b** for both cyclic and acyclic enones **S9b** and **S13** (Table **29**, entries 1 and 9).

The scope of this catalytic system was extended using **L25b** (Scheme **47**, Table **30**). The best enantioselectivity was reached with sterically hindered 4,4-dimethylcyclohexenone **S24** (Table **30**, entries 1 and 2). Of note, a temperature decrease did not allow for significative improvement in term of chiral induction on this compound, but affected notably the catalytic activity. A high reactivity but a moderate enantioselectivity were found with the cycloheptenone **S9c** (Table **30**, entry 3). The study of acyclic substrates

S12, **S13** and **S15** revealed that good TON could be obtained (Table **30**, entries 5, 6 and 7). Nevertheless, interesting enantiomeric excesses were only reached for **S12** and **S13** (Table **30**, entries 6 and 7). Finally, modest results in terms of selectivity were observed with cyclic and acyclic nitroalkenes **S25** and **S26** in spite of the good catalytic activity (Table **30**, entries 4 and 8).

2.5. Miscellaneous

2.5.1. Overview

Besides the main families of hybrid phosphoramidite presented above, various chelating groups have been connected to phosphoramidites to form hybride bidentate ligands. Notably, two families of P,N ligands were reported in 2000 and 2003 [41, 42]. In both cases, the N-chelating group is carried by the non-chiral amino moiety of the phosphoramidite group. Both ligands are made of a BINOL moiety and the Nchelating group was obtained from a 2-aminopyridine in L30a-b or from 8-aminoquinoline in L31 (Scheme 48). The authors also reported a polymer-supported version of L31. A soluble styrene-based copolymer was chosen as the support (L32, Scheme 48). Later, Douthwaite reported his investigations dealing with the use of phosphoramidite-chiral NHC bidentate ligands L33a-c in the Pd-catalyzed allylic substitution reaction (Scheme 48) [43a]. He had previously obtained low rates when he used the corresponding di-NHC and NHC-imine ligands in this reaction. He anticipated that the replacement of either one NHC or the imino chelating group by a phosphine could increase the rate. Very recently, phosphoramidite-chiral oxazoline bidentate ligands L34a-f were obtained by Guiry [44]. Again, the oxazoline coordinating site is carried by the amino unit of the phosphoramidite. Is is interesting to note that L34a-f are made of a TADDOL moiety instead of the usually used BINOL framework (Scheme 48).

2.5.2. Synthesis of Ligands L30-L34

L30 and **L31** were readily prepared in one step from (*S*)-1,1'-bi-2-naphtyl chlorophosphite **44** and commercially available 2-aminopyridine **42a** or **42b** and 8-aminoquinoline

Table 29. Cu-catalyzed conjugate 1,4-addition of diethylzinc to cyclic and acyclic enones S9b and S13

Entry	Substrate	L	Time (h)	Conv. (%)	e.e. (%)
1	S9b	L25b	3	>99	72 (<i>S</i>)
2	S9b	L25a	4	96	56 (<i>R</i>)
3	S9b	L24	3	93	65 (<i>R</i>)
4	S9b	L26a	2.5	>99	30 (<i>R</i>)
5	S9b	L26b	6	72	56 (<i>S</i>)
6	S9b	L27	4	54	66 (<i>S</i>)
7	S9b	L28	3	>99	47 (<i>R</i>)
8	S9b	L29	3	>99	0
9	S13	L25b	3	93	63 (<i>R</i>)
10	S13	L24	5	80	33 (<i>S</i>)

Table 30. Cu-catalyzed conjugate 1,4-addition of diethylzinc to cyclic and acyclic enones with ligand L25b

Entry	Substrate	Time (h)	Conv. (%)	e.e. (%)
1	S24	6	60	78
2 ^[a]	S24	6	12	80
3	S9c	6	96	64
4	S25	6	82	16
5	S15	1	>99	17
6	S12	1	96	52
7	S13	3.5	93	63
8	S26	4	>99	6

[a] Reaction performed at -30°C.



Scheme 48.

43 respectively (Scheme **49**) [41-42]. Polymer-supported **L32** was obtained by co-polymerisation of styrene with the analogue of **L31** bearing a vinyl group at the 3-position of the quinoline group, **46**. The later was in turn synthesized, in a 22% overall yield, from 3-bromoquinoline **45** following a classical four step procedure (Scheme **49**) [42].

Ligands L33a-c were generated in situ from corresponding imidazolium-phosphoramidites **51a-c** (Scheme **50**) [43]. Compounds **51a-c** were prepared from (1R,2R)-diaminocyclohexane **47** in a rather long but efficient five-step procedure (45-52% overall yield). Diamine **47** was converted to diimine **48** which was then submitted to a 1,3-cycloaddition reaction with TosMIC to give imidazole-imine **49**. The imine group was hydrolyzed affording the corresponding amine which was then converted to phosphoramidites **50a-c** following classical conditions. The imidazole-phosphoramidites **50a-c** were then treated with *i*PrI to afford imidazoliumphosphoramidites **51a-c**. Ligands L34a-f were obtained in a three-step procedure from commercially available aminoalcohols 52a-c (Scheme 51) [44]. ZnCl₂- or ZnBr₂-catalyzed condensation of aminoalcohols 52a-c and α -bromo-*o*-tolunitrile 53 afforded oxazolines 54a-c which were then subjected to a nucleophilic substitution with benzylamine to give amines 55a-c. Amines 55 were converted to phosphoramidite-oxazolines L34a-f following a classical procedure employed for the formation of phosphoramidite groups [26].

2.5.3. Evaluation of Ligands L30-L34 in Asymmetric Catalysis

The efficiency of Ligands L30, L33 and L34 was evaluated in the palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic substitution reaction. The rhodium complexes of L31 and L32 were tested in the Rh-catalyzed hydrogenation of alkenes. The efficiency of L33 was also investigated in the iridiumcatalyzed transfer hydrogenation reaction. Ligands L34 were



Scheme 50.

also examined in the asymmetric Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction.

2.5.3.1. Hydrogenation of Carbon-Carbon Double Bonds

The L31 rhodium complex, $[Rh(L31)(cod)]BF_4$ 56, was prepared, isolated and then tested in the hydrogenation of dimethylitaconate S1a, dehydroaminoesters S2 and S3a and dehydraminoacids S27 and S11 (Scheme 52) [42]. Reactions were performed under low pressure of hydrogen (1 bar) but rather high Rh loading (5 mol %). Full conversions were achieved after 4h or 20h at room temperature, except when dehydroaminoacids **S27** and **S11** were engaged in the reaction. The hydrogenated products were isolated in only modest to good enantioselectivities (63-95% ee), **S1a** affording the best ee value. It is interesting to compare the aforementioned results with those obtained with the polymersupported analogue **L32** [42]. The supported complex [Rh/L32] was prepared, isolated and tested in the hydrogena-



BSA, KOAc, CH₂Cl₂

Scheme 52.

Scheme 54.

tion reaction of S1a, S2, S3a, S27 and S11. Under the same reaction conditions as those used previously with complex 56, it was observed that both the conversions (23-100%) and the enantioselectivities (49-65% ee) were lower than those obtained with the non-supported complex 56.

(+) S20a

2.5.3.2. Iridium-Catalyzed Transfer Hydrogenation of Carbon-Oxygen Double Bond

NHC-phosphoramidites L33a-c were tested in the iridium-catalyzed transfer hydrogenation of acetophenone S7a (Scheme 53) [43a]. The reactions were conducted for 1h and 5h in the presence of 0.25 mol % catalyst at 80°C and afforded alcohol **P7a** in good yield (84-87% after 1h reaction) albeit with a low enantioselectivity (15-37% ee after 1h reaction).

2.5.3.3. Pd-Catalyzed Allylic Substitution Reaction

P21a

The palladium-catalyzed allylic substitution reaction of (E)-1,3-diphenylprop-2-en-1-yl acetate S20a and dimethylmalonate anion using P,N-ligands L30a-b [41], NHCphosphoramidites L33a-c [43a] and phosphoramiditeoxazoline ligands L34a-f [44] was investigated (Scheme 54). For a better comparison of the ligand efficiencies, the results are gathered in Table 31. Amino-phosphoramidite ligands L30a-b and NHC-phosphoramidites L33a-c gave P21a in good yields (86-97%, entries 1-5) but with a low enantioselectivity (17-42% ee, entries 1-5). Better, but only rather good ee values, were obtained by using phosphoramiditeoxazoline ligands L34a-f [44]. Among the analogue series L34a-f, the matched ligands L34d-f afforded the highest

Table 31. Pd-catalyzed	allylic substitution	of (E)-1,3-diphenylpro	p-2-en-1-yl acetate S20)a and dimethylmalonate
	•			•

Entry	Ligand	Pd/Ligand (mol %)	Temp. (°C)	Time (h)	Yield (%)	e.e (%)
1	L30a	0.5/1.25	n.c.	16	93	37 (<i>S</i>)
2	L30b	0.5/1.25	n.c.	16	90	33 (<i>S</i>)
3	L33a	2.5/5.0	25	5	97	17 (<i>S</i>)
4	L33b	2.5/5.0	25	5	95	11 (<i>R</i>)
5	L33c	2.5/5.0	25	5	86	42 (<i>S</i>)
6	L34a	0.5/0.55	20	48	22 ^[a]	64 (<i>R</i>)
7	L34b	0.5/0.55	20	48	86 ^[a]	73 (<i>R</i>)
8	L34c	0.5/0.55	20	48	68 ^[a]	78 (R)
9	L34d	0.5/0.55	20	48	71 ^[a]	87 (<i>S</i>)
10	L34e	0.5/0.55	20	48	46 ^[a]	81 (<i>S</i>)
11	L34f	0.5/0.55	20	48	41 ^[a]	88 (S)

[a] Conversion is given



Pd(dba)₂ (0.4 mol %) **L34b** or **L34e** 2 equiv. DME/H₂O, Base, 20°C, 24h 54% yield, 46% ee With **L34b**/Cs₂CO₃ 61 % yield, 33% ee With **L34e**/CsOH



Scheme 55.

enantioselectivities (81-88% ee, entries 9-11), nevertheless the unmatched ligand **L34b** gave the most active catalyst (86% conversion after 48h at 0.5 mol % catalyst loading, entry 7). It can be noticed that the configuration of the TADDOL moiety plays the main role in the control of the absolute configuration in **P21a**.

2.5.3.4. Pd-catalyzed Asymmetric Suzuki-Miyaura Coupling Reaction

Guiry applied the phosphoramidite-oxazoline ligands **L34b** and **L34e** in the Pd-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura coupling between 2-methylnaphtylboronic acid **S28** and 1-bromonaphtalene **S29** (Scheme **55**). Many bases were tested and it was observed that both the conversion and the ee value were dependant upon the couple ligand/base. With the most efficient ligand/base couples, 2-methyl-1,1'-binaphtyl **P33** was isolated in both moderate yield and enantioselectivity. Contrary to what was observed in the allylic substitution reaction (cf. 2.5.3.3) the authors noted that, in this reaction, the configuration of the oxazoline moiety controls the chirality of the coupling product.

3. CONCLUSION

Since 2000, various hybrid bidentate phosphoramidite ligands have been synthesized and applied to asymmetric catalysis. Some are valuable ligands since they can be obtained through short and efficient synthetic routes and they afford metal complexes that exhibit both high activities and enantioselectivites. Particularly noteworthy are phosphoramidite-phosphine ligands L4c, L4i, L4f, L5d, L5e and L5h. For instance, L5h gave very interesting results in the hydrogenation reaction of enol ester phosphonates. The worth of L5e has to be underlined, although the synthetic process for its synthesis is fairly efficient. Indeed, this ligand led to outstanding results in the hydroformylation of styrenes. Phosphoramidite-phosphite ligands proved to be less efficient. Nevertheless, very encouraging results were obtained with L13 and L17b, in the hydrogenation of C-C double bonds and in the allylic substitution reaction respectively. On the contrary, the few reported phosphoramidite-phosphite, phosphoramidite-thioether, phosphoramidite-NHC, phosphoramidite-amine and phosphoramidite-oxazoline ligands did not provide high enantioselectivities in the reactions in which they have been applied.

It is hoped that this review has highlighted the opportunities which are offered by this type of recently described ligands and so that new researches will be stimulated in this area.

REFERENCES

- (a) Jacobsen, E. N.; Pfaltz, A.; Yamamoto, H. Eds., Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis I-III (Springer, Berlin, 1999. (b) Tang, W.; Zhang, X. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 3029. (c) Blaser, H.-U.; Malan, C.; Pugin, B.; Spindler, F.; Steiner, H.; Studer, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2003, 345, 103.
- [2] Togni, A.; Breutel, C.; Schnyder, A.; Spindler, F.; Landert, H.; Tijani, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 4062.

Mini-Reviews in Organic Chemistry, 2008, Vol. 5, No. 2 127

- [3] Blaser, H.-U.; Pugin, B.; Spindler, F. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2005, 231, 1.
- Selected references: (a) de Vries, A. H. M.; Meetsma, A.; Feringa
 B. L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1996, 35, 2374. (b) van den Berg, M.; Minnaard, A. J.; Schudde, E. P.; van Esch, J.; de Vries, A. H. M.; de Vries, J. G.; Feringa, B. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 11539.
- [5] For reviews, see: (a) Jerphagnon, T.; Renaud, J.-L.; Bruneau, C. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2004, *15*, 2101. (b) de Vries, J. G.; Lefort, L. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2006, *12*, 4722 and references cited therein.
- [6] Polet, D.; Alexakis, A.; Tissot-Crosset, K.; Corminboeuf, C.; Ditrich, K. Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 3596 and references cited therein.
- [7] Guo, X.-X.; Xie, J.-H.; Hou, G.-H.; Shi, W.-J.; Wang, L.-X.; Zhou, Q.-L. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2004, 15, 2231.
- [8] Zhang, A.; Rajanbabu, T. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 5620 and references cited therein.
- [9] Moteki, S. A.; Wu, D.; Chandra, K. L.; Reddy, D. S.; Takacs, J. M. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3097 and references cited therein.
- [10] (a) Zhang, W.; Wang, C. J.; Gao, W.; Zhang, X. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2005**, *46*, 6087. (b) Jagt, R. B. C.; de Vries, J. G.; Feringa, B. L.; Minnaard, A. J. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 2433 and references cited therein.
- [11] Guiry, P. J.; Saunders, C. P. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 497.
- [12] (a) Francio, G.; Faraone, F.; Leitner, W. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.
 2000, 39, 1428. (b) Burk, S.; Francio, G.; Leitner, W. Chem. Commun. 2005, 3460.
- [13] Wassenaar, J.; Reek, J. N. H. Dalton Trans. 2007, 3750.
- [14] Jia, X.; Li, X.; Lam, W. S.; Kok, S. H. L.; Xu, L.; Lu, G.; Yeung, C.-H.; Chan, A. S. C. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2004, 15, 2273.
- [15] Hu, X.-P.; Zheng, Z. Org. Lett. **2004**, *6*, 3585.
- [16] Hu, X.-P.; Zheng, Z. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 419.
- [17] Zeng, Q.-H.; Hu, X.-P.; Duan, Z.-C.; Liang, X.-M.; Zheng. Z. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005, 16, 1233.
- [18] Huang, J.-D.; Hu, X.-P.; Duan, Z.-C.; Zeng, Q.-H.; Yu, S.-B.; Deng, J.; Wang, D.-Y.; Zheng, Z. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 4367.
 [19] Yan, Y.; Zhang, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 7198.
- [20] Vallianatou, K. A.; Kostas, I. D.; Holz, J.; Börner, A. Tetrahedron *lett* 2006 47 7947
- [21] Boeda, F.; Crévisy, C. (Unpublished results).
- [22] Wang, D.-Y.; Hu, X.-P.; Huang, J.-D.; Deng, J.; Yu, S.-B.; Duan, Z.-C.; Xu, X.-F.; Zheng, Z. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7810.
- [23] Boeda, F.; Rix, D.; Clavier, H.; Crévisy, C.; Mauduit, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006, 17, 2726.
- [24] (a) Zhang, W.; Zhang, X. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 1020. (b) Zhang,
 W.; Zhang, X. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 5515.

Received: October 31, 2007

Revised: March 04, 2008

Accepted: March 08, 2008

- [25] Wehman, P.; van Donge, H. M. A.; Hagos, A.; Kamer, P. C. J.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 535, 183.
- [26] For classical conditions used in the synthesis of phosphoramidite group, see: References [4a, 5b] and (a) Alexakis, A.; Burton, J.; Vastra, J.; Benhaim, C.; Fournioux X.; van de Heuvel, A.; Levêque, J. M.; Mazé, F; Rosset, S. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2000, 4011. (b) Hulst, R.; de Vries, N. K.; Feringa, B. L. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1994, 5, 699.
- [27] (a) Tu, T.; Zhou, Y.-G.; Hou, X.-L.; Dai, L.-X.; Dong, X.-C.; Yu, Y.-H.; Sun, J. Organometallics 2003, 22, 1255. (b) Boaz, N. W.; Debenham, S. D.; Mackenzie, E. B.; Large, S. E. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2421.
- [28] van Oort, A. B.; Budzelaar, P. H. M.; Frijns, J. H. G.; Orpen, A. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 396, 33.
- [29] Lot, O.; Suisse, I.; Mortreux, A.; Agbossou, F. J. Mol. Catal. A. 2000, 164, 125.
- [30] Naily, S.; Suisse, I.; Mortreux, A.; Agbossou-Niedercorn, F.; Nowogrocki, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 628, 114.
- [31] Cesarotti, E.; Araneo, S.; Rimoldi, I.; Tassi, S. J. Mol. Catal. A. 2003, 204-205, 211.
- [32] Diéguez, M.; Ruiz, A.; Claver, C. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2001**, *12*, 2827.
- [33] Diéguez, M.; Ruiz, A.; Claver, C. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2001**, *12*, 2861.
- [34] Diéguez, M.; Ruiz, A.; Claver, C. Chem. Commun. 2001, 2702.
- [35] Raluy, E.; Claver, C.; Pamies, O.; Diéguez, M. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 49.
- [36] Mata, Y.; Claver, C.; Diéguez, M.; Pamies, O. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006, 17, 3282.
- [37] Mata, Y.; Diéguez, M.; Pamies, O.; Biswas, K.; Woodward, S. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2007, 18, 1613.
- [38] Cramer, N.; Laschat, S.; Baro, A. Organometallics 2006, 25, 2284.
- [39] Fraser, P. K.; Woodward, S. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2003**, *9*, 776.
- [40] Cesarotti, E.; Rimoldi, I. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2004, *15*, 3841.
 [41] Arena, C. G.; Drommi, D.; Faraone, F. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2000, *11*, 4753.
- [42] Doherty, S.; Robins, E. G.; Pal, I.; Newman, C. R.; Hardacre, C.; Rooney, D.; Mooney, D. A. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2003, 14, 1517.
- [43] (a) Hodgson, R.; Douthwaite, R. E. J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 5822. (b) Bonnet, L. G.; Douthwaite, R. E.; Kariuki, B. M. Organometallics 2003, 22, 4187.
- [44] Bronger, R. P. J.; Guiry, P. J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2007, 18, 1094.